

# **A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham**

**Scheme Number: TR010059**

## **7.6D Statement of Common Ground with Historic England**

Rule 8 (1)(e)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

May 2021

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning  
(Examination Procedure) Rules  
2010**

**The A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to  
Ellingham**

Development Consent Order 20[xx]

---

**Statement of Common Ground with  
Historic England**

---

<b>Rule Reference:</b>	8(1)(e)
<b>Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference</b>	TR010059
<b>Document Reference</b>	7.6D
<b>Author:</b>	A1 in Northumberland: Morpeth to Ellingham Project Team, Highways England

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status of Version</b>
Rev 5	May 2021	Deadline 8


**STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND**

**This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared and agreed by (1) Highways England Company Limited and (2) Historic England**

Signed.....

Mark Stoneman, Project Manager on behalf of Highways England

Date: 4 June 2021

Signed. 

Mike Collins on behalf of Historic England

Date: 15 June 2021

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT	1
1.2	PARTIES TO THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND	1
1.3	TERMINOLOGY	2
<b>2</b>	<b>RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>ISSUES</b>	<b>11</b>

## **TABLES**

Table 2-1 - Record of Engagement in Relation to Part A Only	3
Table 2-2 - Record of Engagement in Relation to Part B Only	5
Table 3-1 - Issues Related to Part A Only	11
Table 3-2 - Issues Related to Part B Only	12

## **APPENDICES**

### APPENDIX A

EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND (25/04/2018)

### APPENDIX B

EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND (17/08/2018)

### APPENDIX C

MEETING MINUTES BETWEEN WSP, HISTORIC ENGLAND AND NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY COUNCIL (22/08/2018)

APPENDIX D

LETTER FROM HISTORIC ENGLAND TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND (08/04/19). EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND (21/05/19). EMAIL FROM HISTORIC ENGLAND TO WSP (07/06/2019)

APPENDIX E

MEETING MINUTES BETWEEN WSP AND HISTORIC ENGLAND (26/02/2020), EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND (08/04/2020), EMAIL FROM HISTORIC ENGLAND TO WSP (13/05/2020) AND

APPENDIX F

EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND (17/09/2020)

APPENDIX G

MEETINGS BETWEEN WSP, HISTORIC ENGLAND, NCC, HIGHWAYS ENGLAND AND CJP (01/01/2021) AND EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC ENGLAND AND NCC (02/02/2021)

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

- 1.1.1. This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) relates to an application made by Highways England (the Applicant) to the Secretary of State via the Planning Inspectorate (the 'Inspectorate') under the Planning Act 2008 (the '2008 Act') for a Development Consent Order (DCO). If made, the DCO would grant consent for the A1 in Northumberland, Morpeth to Ellingham (the 'Scheme'). A detailed description of the Scheme can be found in Chapter 2: The Scheme of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-037].
- 1.1.2. This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All documents are available on the Inspectorate's website:  
<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/North%20East/A1-in-Northumberland---Morpeth-to-Ellingham/>
- 1.1.3. The SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority (ExA) where agreement has been reached between the parties to it, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.

## 1.2 PARTIES TO THIS STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

- 1.2.1. This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Highways England as the Applicant and (2) Historic England.
- 1.2.2. Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the Secretary of State. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.3. Historic England was established with effect from 1 April 1984 under Section 32 of the National Heritage Act 1983. The general duties of Historic England under Section 33 are as follows:  
*"...so far as is practicable:*
- *To secure the preservation of ancient monuments and historic buildings situated in England;*
  - *To promote the preservation and enhancement of the character and appearance of conservation areas situated in England; and*
  - *To promote the public's enjoyment of, and advance their knowledge of, ancient monuments and historic buildings situated in England and their preservation".*
- 1.2.4. Historic England is a statutory consultee providing advice to local planning authorities on certain categories of applications for planning permission and listed building consent and is also a statutory consultee on all Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).

Similarly, Historic England advises the Secretary of State (SoS) on those applications, subsequent appeals and on other matters generally affecting the historic environment. It is the lead body for the heritage sector and is the Government's principal adviser on the historic environment.

### **1.3 TERMINOLOGY**

- 1.3.1. In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, "Not Agreed" indicates a final position, and "Under discussion" where these points will be the subject of on-going discussion wherever possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. "Historic England Agrees" indicates where the issue has been resolved.
- 1.3.2. It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Historic England, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as "agreed", to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to Historic England.

## 2 RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT

- 2.1.1. A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and Historic England is set out in the tables below.
- 2.1.2. The Applicant has engaged with Historic England regarding the impacts of the Scheme on heritage assets for both Part A and Part B. As the impacts are specific to each part of the Scheme, this engagement is recorded separately: Part A is recorded in Table 2-1, below, and part B in Table 2-2.

**Table 2-1 - Record of Engagement in Relation to Part A Only**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
March 2018	Scoping Opinion [APP-340]	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Outline of proposed assessment methodology for Cultural Heritage chapter in the EIA, including size of study area, which designated assets should be scoped in and guidance to follow.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Initial assessment agrees with the list of designated heritage assets within 1km of the proposed development as identified by the Scoping Report in Figure 1.2 Environmental Constraints Plan, Appendix B.2.</p> <p>The assessment will consider, where appropriate, the likelihood of alterations to the drainage patterns that might lead to in situ decompensation or destruction of below ground archaeological remains and deposits and can also lead to subsidence of buildings and monuments.</p> <p>The setting assessment will follow best practice standards and guidance as set out in “Good Practice Advice in Planning – Note 3: The Setting of Heritage Assets” and “Good Practice in Planning – Note 2: Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment”. The latter is in addition to guidance mentioned in paragraph 10.7.6 of the Scoping Report.</p>
25/04/18	Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Historic England North East & Yorkshire Regional Office, (see Appendix A)	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Confirmation of requirement to scope in any designated asset outside of the 1km Study Area. Images showing the Scheme boundary and the location of designated assets in a 1km buffer were provided, along with a list of designated assets</p>



<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>of Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
		<p>proposed for assessment. Request for identification of any additional designated heritage assets outside of the 1km buffer required for assessment.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>No details of additional designated heritage assets received, therefore no additional ones scoped in.</p>
17/08/18	Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Lee McFarlane and Martin Lowe (Historic England) (See Appendix B)	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Meeting between the Applicant and Historic England to provide details of the outcome of the Historic Environment Desk-based Assessment</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Provision of the draft copy of the Historic Environment Desk-based Assessment for Part A, for comment ahead of a proposed meeting between the Applicant and Historic England.</p>
22/08/18	Meeting in Northumberland County Hall, Morpeth. In attendance was Alex Grassam (WSP), Victoria Wilson (WSP), Lee McFarlane (Historic England), Alyssa Young (Highways England), Glen Shaw (NCC) and Karen Derham (NCC) (See Appendix C)	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Review of the Historic Environment Desk-based Assessment (Part A).</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Historic England confirmed that no comments were required as no Scheduled Monuments, Grade I or Grade II* would be adversely impacted by Part A.</p>

**Table 2-2 - Record of Engagement in Relation to Part B Only**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
22/08/18	Meeting in Northumberland County Hall, Morpeth. In attendance was Alex Grassam (WSP), Victoria Wilson (WSP), Lee McFarlane (Historic England), Alyssa Young (Highways England), Glen Shaw (NCC) and Karen Derham (NCC) (See Appendix C)	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Meeting to outline the route of Part B, and to identify and discuss any immediate concerns relating to designated heritage assets within and adjacent to Part B.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>The presence of a Prehistoric burial mound Scheduled Monument (NHL 1018499) within the current Order Limits was identified by Historic England as being the main point of concern. The Scheduled Monument is located approximately 350 m to the north west of West East Linkhall, in a field on the east side of A1 (NGR 417130 622030). The early design proposals included the siting of a detention basin in this field.</p> <p>The Applicant continued to pursue alternatives to the siting of the detention basin in this field so as to avoid the need for this field to remain within the Order limits. This has resulted in the relocation of the detention basin (former DB6) away from the Scheduled Monument and removal of the Scheduled Monument from the Order limits [APP-038].</p>
04/12/18	Scoping Opinion [APP-341]	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Outline of proposed assessment methodology for Cultural Heritage chapter in the EIA, including size of study area, which designated assets should be scoped in and guidance to follow.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Initial assessment agrees with the list of designated heritage assets within 1 km of the proposed development as identified by the EIA Scoping Report.</p> <p>The assessment will consider, where appropriate, the likelihood of alterations to drainage patterns that might lead to in situ decomposition or destruction of below ground archaeological remains and deposits, and can also lead to subsidence of buildings and monuments.</p>

Date	Form of Correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
		<p>The assessment will assess the impacts of the two proposed new junctions including likely impacts on the grade I Alnwick Park and Garden.</p> <p>The setting assessment will follow best practice standards and guidance as set out in “Good Practice Advice in Planning – Note 3: The Setting of Heritage Assets” and “Good Practice in Planning – Note 2: Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment”. The latter is in addition to guidance mentioned in paragraph 10.7.6 of the Scoping Report.</p>
<p>08/04/19</p> <p>21/05/19</p> <p>07/06/19</p>	<p>Section 42 Consultation from the Applicant</p> <p>Response from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Mark Stoneman (Highways England) by letter</p> <p>Email response from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England)</p> <p>Email response from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Alex Grassam (WSP) (see Appendix D)</p>	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Presence of Scheduled Monuments both within the Order Limits and immediately adjacent to the Scheme. Requirement to undertake detailed evaluation in areas of high potential for below ground archaeological remains of high value.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Based on objections by Historic England, the Order Limits for the Scheme were amended to remove Prehistoric burial mound Scheduled Monument (NHL 1018499), located approximately 350 m to the north west of West East Linkhall, in a field on the east side of A1 (NGR 417130 622030).</p> <p>Detailed evaluation was undertaken in two parts of the Scheme where a potential for high value below ground (archaeological) was established.</p> <p>A geophysical survey found anomalies of potential archaeological origin adjacent to the Scheduled Monument Camp at West Linkhall (NHL 1006500) (Appendix 8.3 West Linkhall Intrusive Survey Information Application, Volume 8 of the ES [APP-293]. These were investigated through a trial trench investigation which established that the anomalies were not of archaeological origin, but more likely natural, geological variations.</p> <p>Trial trenching was also undertaken on land adjacent to the Scheduled Monument North Charlton Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348) (Appendix 8.4 North Charlton Intrusive Survey Information Application [APP-294]). No archaeological remains were identified.</p>

Date	Form of Correspondence	Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes
26/02/20	Meeting via telephone. In attendance was Alex Grassam (WSP), Lowri McCann (WSP) and Mike Collins (Historic England)	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Following the completion, the evaluation works resulting from the above Key Topic, a discussion of the results of the trial trenching and their implications on the Scheme was required. Discussion of potential for the Scheme to result in substantial harm on the Scheduled Monuments. Discussion of the potential to construction a haul road through land adjacent to North Charlton Scheduled Monument.</p>
08/04/20	Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England)	<p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>No archaeological remains were identified within the Order limits adjacent to the Scheduled Monument boundary. The true accuracy and reliability of the Scheduled Monument extent and boundaries was discussed. The Applicant explained that the position of the boundary, as denoted in the GIS data provided via Historic England, was set out and marked during the evaluation using a GPS system and it was observed that all visible earthworks associated with the heritage asset were contained within the boundary of the Scheduled Monument. Based on this information, it was agreed that there would be no direct physical impacts causing substantial harm on the Scheduled Monument.</p>
13/05/20	Email from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Alex Grassam (WSP) (see Appendix E).	<p>The route of the Haul Road at the north end of the Scheme was discussed. The Applicant explained that the proposal to extend the haul road north so it runs adjacent to the boundary of the Scheduled Monument was not being progressed as part of the DCO application however the Order limits would remain as drawn to allow for this to be explored at PCF Stage 5.</p> <p>Review of the results of Trial Trenching at West Linkhall Scheduled Monument. No archaeological remains were identified within the Order limits adjacent to the Scheduled Monument boundary. Based on this information, it was agreed that there would be no direct physical impacts causing substantial harm on the Scheduled Monument.</p>

<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
<p>17/09/20</p> <p>15/10/20</p>	<p>Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England)</p> <p>Meeting via telephone. In attendance were Mike Collins (Historic England), Alex Grassam (WSP), Kevin Stubbs (WSP), Phil Gibbins (Highways England), Karen Derham (NCC) (see Appendix F)</p>	<p><b>Key topics</b></p> <p>Haul Road Design and protection of the North Charlton Scheduled Monument.</p> <p><b>Key outcomes</b></p> <p>Proposal to terminate the haul road to the south of North Charlton Scheduled Monument and establish an exclusion zone where the boundary of the Scheduled Monument adjoins the Order limits.</p> <p>Detailed plan showing the extent of the exclusion zone was prepared in consultation with CJP and submitted at Deadline 1 of the Examination phase [REP1-070]. The Detailed Plan will form part of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [REP1-023 and 024].</p>
<p>12/11/2020</p>	<p>Relevant Representations</p>	<p><b>Key Topic</b></p> <p>Concern that no plan within the supporting documents which shows the DCO boundary and the scheduled monuments at a scale sufficient to be clear that they abut but do not coincide. Clarity sought on this matter through an additional plan to ensure that exclusion of the scheduled sites from the DCO area will be accomplished.</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b></p> <p>A detailed plan showing the DCO limits, the Scheduled Monument Camp at West Linkhall (NHL 1006500) Scheduled Monument North Charlton Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348), along with the General Arrangements of the Scheme was submitted [REP1-070].</p>

<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
24/02/21	Letter from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Peter Henson (WSP on behalf of the Applicant) (Appendix G).	<p><b>Key Topic</b> Deadline 4 Change Request</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b> Mike Collins confirmed that Historic England “have examined the proposed amendments, and the assessment work undertaken to examine potential changes to impacts on heritage assets within Historic England’s statutory remit.  <i>Having done so, I can confirm that Historic England has no comments to make on the proposed amendments.”</i></p>
12/04/21  29/04/21  02/06/21	Email from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Alex Grassam (WSP) with attached updated draft of the Statement of Common Ground.  Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England).  Email from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Alex Grassam (WSP)	<p><b>Key Topic</b> Draft Statement of Common Ground</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b> Historic England reviewed the draft Statement of Common Ground and requested the following amendments to the document.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Changes to the wording in Key Outcomes in Table 2-2 line 4, relating to the accuracy of the boundaries of the Scheduled Monument. This wording has now been updated.</li> <li>2. Throughout the document, amendments to state that Historic England have agreed to issues within their remit only. This update has been applied.</li> <li>3. Table 3-2 Item 7: New issue identified in respect of the scoping out of receptors. The text in this section of the statement of common ground has been updated, with a response provided and returned to Historic England for review.</li> <li>4. Table 3-2 Item 8 and Item 10. New issue identified in respect of incomplete titles for a Scheduled Monument. The text in this section of the statement of common ground has been updated, to proposed that the references identified by Historic England are updated to “North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348)”.</li> <li>5. Table 3-2 Item 9. New issue identified in respect of the measures in the Outline CEMP.</li> </ol>

<b>Date</b>	<b>Form of Correspondence</b>	<b>Key Topics Discussed and Key Outcomes</b>
		<p>The Applicant has updated this section of the statement of common ground to identify that the protection of Scheduled Monuments is provided for by Items B-CH1 and B-CH1 of the REAC.</p>
11/05/21	Phone call and email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England).	<p><b>Key Topic</b> Alex Grassam requested further comments from Historic England on the revised SoCG.</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b> Response awaited.</p>
17/05/21	Email from Alex Grassam (WSP) to Mike Collins (Historic England).	<p><b>Key Topic</b> Alex Grassam requested further comments from Historic England on the revised SoCG.</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b> Response awaited.</p>
02/06/21	Email from Mike Collins (Historic England) to Alex Grassam (WSP)	<p><b>Key Topic</b> Draft Statement of Common Ground</p> <p><b>Key Outcome</b> Historic England confirmed they were satisfied with the amendments and are not requesting any more.</p>

- 2.1.3. It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) the Applicant and (2) Historic England in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.

### 3 ISSUES

**Table 3-1 - Issues Related to Part A Only**

Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status	
1.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	Whole Chapter	N/A	There are no Grade I Listed Buildings identified within the Scheme or Study Areas.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
2.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	Whole Chapter	N/A	There is one Scheduled Monument (Felton Old Bridge, also Grade II* Listed Buildings), one Grade I (Church of St. Michael's and all Angels) and two Grade II* Listed Buildings (Greenhouse and Bockenfield Farmhouse) within 1km of the Scheme identified for assessment.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
3.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.3.1 to 8.3.8	Legislative and policy framework	The assessments presented within Chapter 8 appropriately considers relevant legislation and policy.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
4.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.4.1 to 8.4.41	Assessment Methodology	The scope and methodology adopted for the baseline cultural heritage assessment of the potential impacts for issues within Historic England's statutory remit is appropriate and follows standards and guidance.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
5.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.5.1 to 8.5.10	Assessment Assumptions and Limitations	The assumptions and limitations to the assessment for issues within Historic England's statutory remit have been acknowledged and appropriately considered within the assessment.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
6.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.6.1 to 8.6.2	Study Area	The inner Study Area of 500 m is appropriate for the identification of all types of heritage assets (designated, non-designated, potential archaeological remains and historic landscapes) to establish the known historic environment context and potential for hitherto unknown below-ground archaeological remains.  The outer Study Area of 1km for the assessment of setting heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit is appropriate.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
7.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.7.1 to 8.7.61	Baseline	The heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit identified and described in the baseline are appropriate for the assessment. The value of the heritage assets and the contribution of the setting to the value of the heritage asset (where appropriate) is correctly assessed, including the assessment of the non-designated Felton Park as a high value asset due to the presence of the Grade II* Listed Building Greenhouse within it.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
8.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8	8.8.1 to 8.8.34	Potential Impacts	The assessment identifies that there are no potential impacts on the Scheduled Monument, Grade I and one Grade II* Listed Buildings during construction or operation.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree



Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status
9.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8 8.9.1 to 8.9.11	Design, Mitigation and Enhancement Measures	No design, mitigation or enhancement measures are required or proposed for the Scheduled Monument and Grade II* Listed Buildings during construction or operation.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
10.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8 8.10.1 to 8.10.30	Assessment of Likely Significant Effects	The assessment of significant effects during construction and operation on heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit is appropriate.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
11.	Chapter (Cultural Heritage)	8 8.11.1	Monitoring	No monitoring is required in relation to heritage assets within Historic England's remit.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree

Table 3-2 - Issues Related to Part B Only

Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status
1.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)		Whole Chapter	There are seven Scheduled Monuments, one Grade I Listed Building (Heiferlaw Tower), one Grade II* Listed Building (Charlton Hall) and one Grade I Registered Park and Garden (Alnwick Castle) in Part B Main Scheme Study Area. There is one Grade II* Listed Building (Greenhouse) in the Main Compound Study Area.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
2.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.3.1 to 8.3.8	Legislative and policy framework	The assessments presented within Chapter 8 appropriately considers relevant legislation and policy.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
3.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.4.1 to 8.4.36	Assessment Methodology	The scope and methodology adopted for the baseline cultural heritage assessment of heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit is appropriate and follows standards and guidance.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
4.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.5.1 to 8.5.6	Assessment Assumptions and Limitations	The assumptions and limitations to the assessment for issues within Historic England's statutory remit have been acknowledged and appropriately considered within the assessment.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
5.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.6.1 to 8.6.3	Study Area	The inner Study Area of 500 m is appropriate for the identification of all types of heritage assets (designated, non-designated, potential archaeological remains and historic landscapes) to establish the known historic environment context and potential for hitherto unknown below-ground archaeological remains.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree

Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status
				The outer Study Area of 1km for the assessment of setting heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit is appropriate.		
6.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.7.1 to 8.7.87	Baseline	The heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit identified and described in the baseline are appropriate for the assessment. The value of the heritage assets and the contribution of the setting to the value of the heritage asset (where appropriate) is correctly assessed.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
7.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.8.1 to 8.8.4	Potential Impacts	<p>11-02-2021</p> <p>Receptors Scoped Out</p> <p>The assessment determines that the Scheme will not impact the setting of the Grade I Registered Park and Garden Alnwick Castle (NHL 1001041) and designated heritage assets contained within it. The boundary of the Registered Park and Garden is 400 m from the Order limits; however, these southern extents of the Order limits are existing access tracks and easements required for construction and existing sections of dual carriageway and would not represent a change in the setting. The nearest section of the A1 to be dualled is approximately 900 m to the north and is not visible from the Park and Garden. No views from the heritage assets within the Registered Park and Garden were identified and the majority are over 1 m from the Order limits. Therefore, no impacts are predicted.</p> <p>Impacts and effects on heritage assets within Historic England's statutory remit from the construction and operation of the Main Compound are reported in Chapter 8 Cultural Heritage Part A [APP-046] and are not reported here.</p> <p>30-04-2021</p> <p>The assessment determines that the Scheme will not impact the setting of the Grade I Registered Park and Garden Alnwick Castle (NHL 1001041) and designated heritage assets contained within it. Paragraph 8.7.59 identifies that there are eight scheduled monuments and 41 Listed Buildings (12 Grade I, two Grade II* and 27 Grade II), including Alnwick Castle (NHLE 1371308) and the Brizlee Tower (NHLE 1076985), contained within the Grade I Registered Park and Garden. The designated heritage assets within the Park and Garden were identified during Scoping to be potentially sensitive to change through any adverse impacts on the Park and Garden.</p> <p>However, the boundary of the Registered Park and Garden is 400 m from the Order limits and these southern extents of the Order limits are existing access tracks and easements required for construction and existing sections of dual carriageway and would not represent a change in the setting. The nearest section of the A1 to be dualled is approximately 900 m to the north and is not visible from the Park and Garden. No views from the heritage assets within the Registered Park and Garden were identified and the majority are over 1 km from the Order limits. Therefore, no impacts are predicted at the Registered Park and Gardens or the designated heritage assets contained within it.</p> <p>Chapter 8 of the ES [APP-047] confirms that there would be no impacts and therefore no effects on Rock Conservation Area, three Scheduled Monuments (Heiferlaw Tower House (NHL 1014061), Heiferlaw Defended Settlement and World War II Zero Station (NHL 1014080) and Iron Age Defended Settlement in Camp Plantation (NHL 1017655) and that the remaining 50 built heritage assets in the Outer Study Area, (Grade I Listed Building: Heiferlaw Tower (NHL 1304282), Grade II*</p>	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree

Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status
				Listed Building: Charlton Hall (NHL 1042002), 28 Grade II Listed Buildings and 20 non-designated assets) either did not share any visibility with the existing A1 or are located a sufficient distance away, such that there would not be any adverse impacts due to noise or lighting. For these reasons these heritage assets were scoped out of a further assessment. The assessment identified no impacts on the Grade II* Listed Building in the Main Compound Study Area.		
8.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.8.4 to 8.8.78	Potential Impacts	<p>11-02-2021</p> <p>Scheduled Monument North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348).</p> <p>The boundary of Scheduled Monument North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System adjacent to the Scheme Order Limits sufficiently encompasses all the earthworks relating to the Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348). The geophysical survey and trial trench evaluation in the area immediately adjacent to the Scheduled Monument boundary in the Order limits did not identify any below ground heritage assets associated with the Scheduled Monument extending into Scheme.</p> <p>The haul road will terminate to the south of the Scheduled Monument. An exclusion zone will be established along the section of the Order limits that adjoins the Scheduled Monument. This is secured by the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-346].</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Camp at West Linkhall (NHL 1006500).</p> <p>A geophysical survey undertaken adjacent to the Scheduled Monument identified anomalies of potential archaeological origin. A trial trench evaluation established that the anomalies are not archaeological remains and did not identify any heritage assets associated with the Scheduled Monument extending into the Order limits.</p> <p>The Scheme would result in fundamental changes in the immediate setting of the heritage asset. However, the immediate setting is not believed to provide a strong contribution to value of the asset.</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Prehistoric Burial Mound, 420m north-west of East Linkhall (NHL 1018499).</p> <p>Construction would see intrusive ground works taking place 40 m to the west of the Scheduled Monument and result in the A1 being in closer proximity to the heritage asset. While these would change the way the asset is experienced, the Scheme would not materially impact on the elements of the setting that contributes to the value of the asset (i.e. its relationship with the watercourse and the position of the asset in relation to other barrows).</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Ellsnook Round Barrow, 175m north east of Heiferlaw Bridge (NHL 1006564).</p> <p>The construction period would see works taking place up to the edge of the woodland where the asset is located and the creation of a detention basin in the adjacent field. While this will result in changes to the setting, it will not materially impact on the elements of the setting that contributes to the value of the asset.</p> <p>30-04-2021:</p> <p>Description in the statement of common ground amended to read Scheduled Monument North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348).</p>	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree

Item	ES Chapter	Paragraph Reference	Sub-section	Highways England	Historic England Response	Status
9.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.9.1 to 8.9.14	Design, Mitigation and Enhancement Measures	<p>11-02-2021</p> <p>The Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-346] contains design measures, including the use of exclusion zones, to protect the four Scheduled Monuments located in close proximity to the Scheme from accidental damage during construction.</p> <p>There are no mitigation measures or enhancements proposed for the Scheduled Monuments.</p> <p>30-04-2021</p> <p>Protection of the Scheduled Monuments abutting the Order limits at Part B is secured in the Outline CEMP [REF] at Items B-CH1 to B-CH2, while Items S-CH1 to S-CH6 deal with Scheme-wide measures. As such, the Outline CEMP already provides specific measures for the protection of the Scheduled Monuments referred to by Historic England.</p>	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
10.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.10.1 to 8.10.44	Assessment of Likely Significant Effects	<p>11-02-2021 - Scheduled Monument North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348).</p> <p>There would be a temporary slight adverse effect on the setting of the heritage asset during construction and a permanent slight adverse effect during operation.</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Camp at West Linkhall (NHL 1006500).</p> <p>There would be a temporary moderate adverse effect on the setting of the heritage asset during construction and permanent slight adverse during operation.</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Prehistoric Burial Mound, 420m north-west of East Linkhall (NHL 1018499).</p> <p>There would be a temporary slight impact on the setting of the heritage asset during construction.</p> <p>Scheduled Monument Ellsnook Round Barrow, 175m north east of Heiferlaw Bridge (NHL 1006564).</p> <p>There would be a temporary slight impact on the setting of the heritage asset during construction.</p> <p>30-04-2021</p> <p>Description in the statement of common ground amended to read Scheduled Monument North Charlton Deserted Medieval Village and Open Field System (NHL 1018348).</p>	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree
11.	Chapter 8 (Cultural Heritage)	8.11.1	Monitoring	No monitoring is required for heritage assets within Historic England statutory remit.	Nothing to add.	Historic England agree

# Appendix A

**EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC  
ENGLAND (25/04/2018)**

# Appendix B

**EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC  
ENGLAND (17/08/2018)**

# Appendix C

**MEETING MINUTES BETWEEN WSP,  
HISTORIC ENGLAND AND  
NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY  
COUNCIL (22/08/2018)**

# Appendix D

**LETTER FROM HISTORIC ENGLAND  
TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND (08/04/19).  
EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC  
ENGLAND (21/05/19). EMAIL FROM  
HISTORIC ENGLAND TO WSP  
(07/06/2019)**



# Appendix E

**MEETING MINUTES BETWEEN WSP  
AND HISTORIC ENGLAND  
(26/02/2020), EMAIL FROM WSP TO  
HISTORIC ENGLAND (08/04/2020),  
EMAIL FROM HISTORIC ENGLAND  
TO WSP (13/05/2020) AND**

# Appendix F

**EMAIL FROM WSP TO HISTORIC  
ENGLAND (17/09/2020)**

# Appendix G

**MEETINGS BETWEEN WSP,  
HISTORIC ENGLAND, NCC,  
HIGHWAYS ENGLAND AND CJP  
(01/01/2021) AND EMAIL FROM WSP  
TO HISTORIC ENGLAND AND NCC  
(02/02/2021)**

© Crown copyright 2021.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence:

visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/)

write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives,

Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email

[psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

This document is also available on our website at [www.gov.uk/highways](http://www.gov.uk/highways)

If you have any enquiries about this document

[A1inNorthumberland@highwaysengland.co.uk](mailto:A1inNorthumberland@highwaysengland.co.uk)

or call 0300 470 4580\*.

\*Calls to 03 numbers cost no more than a national rate call to an 01 or 02 number and must count towards any inclusive minutes in the same way as 01 and 02 calls.

These rules apply to calls from any type of line including mobile, BT, other fixed line or payphone. Calls may be recorded or monitored.

Registered office Bridge House, 1 Walnut Tree Close, Guildford GU1 4LZ

Highways England Company Limited registered in England and Wales number 09346363